

Appendix 1

Refusals, Revocations and Suspensions

Reference: 004034

Date: 15/03/2017

Information: Video and photograph evidence shows driver using a mobile phone (watching a video) whilst transporting a minor under Surrey County Councils School Runs Scheme.

Outcome: Licence revoked under the grounds of being not fit and proper (driving without due care and attention.)

Reference: 003185

Date: 28/03/2017

Information: Driver caught as part of a sting operation by Licensing Authority and was found to be driving a car for hire and reward whilst not in possession of a valid driver or vehicle licence.

Outcome: Successfully prosecuted at Guildford Magistrates Court.

Reference: 004035

Date: 06/04/2017

Information: Disclosure and Barring Service Certificate showed twelve convictions for nineteen offences and one caution for one offence.

Outcome: Application Refused on grounds of not fit and proper (as per Criminal Convictions Policy)

Appeal: Appealed WBC Decision. Guildford Magistrates Court found WBC's decision to be correct.

Reference: 004048

Date: 05/05/2017

Information: Numerous forms the applicant had completed turned out to contain false information. This included providing false information on a Disclosure and Barring Service Application Form.

Outcome: Application Refused on grounds of repeatedly providing false information on official documents. Failed to show honesty and therefore was not fit and proper.

Reference: 003187

Date: 31/05/2017

Information: Home Office Evidence showed applicant has no right to work in the UK.

Outcome: Licence Revoked

Reference: 003188

Date: 31/05/2017

Information: Home Office Evidence showed applicant has no right to work in the UK.

Outcome: Licence Revoked

Reference: 003189

Date: 31/05/2017

Information: Home Office Evidence showed applicant has no right to work in the UK.

Outcome: Licence Revoked

Reference: 003190

Date: 31/05/2017

Information: Home Office Evidence showed applicant has no right to work in the UK.
Outcome: Licence Revoked

Reference: 003384

Date: 04/07/2017

Information: Has received three separate allegations from three separate female fare paying passengers of sexual assault.

Outcome: Revoked on grounds of not fit and proper.

Appeal: Appealed WBC Decision, Guildford Magistrates Court found WBC decision to be correct.

Reference: 003294

Date: 13/07/2017

Information: Medical Assessment from G.P. shows driver not medically fit to drive.

Outcome: Licence Revoked

Reference: 003394

Date: 07/08/2017

Information: Medical Assessment from G.P. shows driver not medically fit to drive.

Outcome: Licence Revoked

Appeal: Appealed WBC Decision, Guildford Magistrates Court found WBC decision to be correct.

Reference: 004232

Date: 10/08/2017

Information: Was found to be operating a private hire company without a valid licence. Furthermore, was stopped by Surrey Police carrying passengers without a valid vehicle or driver licence.

Outcome: Application refused, and successfully prosecuted at Guildford Magistrates Court.

Reference: 003606

Date: 06/12/2017

Information: Driver was successfully prosecuted by Guildford Borough Council for plying for hire (i.e. they are a Private Hire Driver and picked up a passenger without a booking in the Guildford Town Centre).

Outcome: Licence revoked.

Reference: 004301

Date: 07/12/2017

Information: Disclosure and Barring Service Certificate shows eighteen convictions for thirty-six offences.

Outcome: Application Refused under grounds of not fit and proper (in line with Criminal Conviction Policy)

Reference: 004722

Date: 28/02/18

Information: Disclosure and Barring Service Certificate shows two convictions for three offences and one caution for one offence.

Outcome: Application refused under grounds of not fit and proper (in line with Criminal Conviction Policy)

Reference: 003463

21/03/18

Drivers licence revoked on the grounds that

- i) they had been arrested by Surrey Police for Child Abduction
- ii) they had previously been arrested, cautioned and had their licence suspended carrying a bladed article in their licenced vehicle
- iii) they had a record of historical convictions, such as handling stolen goods, possession of a controlled drug and a caution for resisting or obstructing a constable.
- iv) Surrey Police had expressed concerns as on three separate occasions the driver had been caught using or carrying cannabis which suggested a pattern
- v) Surrey police had also expressed concerns as a stop and search of his vehicle had found a wash kit in the central console containing wet wipes, condoms etc – which we were informed by the Police is a common accoutrement for those involved in the control of prostitution.

Reference 004048

26/03/18

Applicant's renewal was refused on the grounds that

- i) they had failed to disclose a previous licence refusal (which is a requirement of the application form)
- ii) they had failed to notify us of an address change (whilst licenced)
- iii) on more than one occasion they had provided us with false addresses on official application forms
- iv) they had provided false information on a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS, formerly the CRB check) application form.
- v) They had failed to update their DVLA licence in contravention of DVLA requirements.

Reference 004966

29/05/18

Applicant's renewal was refused on the grounds that their DBS Certificate showed "Theft by an employee" which is in contravention of Woking Borough Councils Criminal Convictions Policy.

Reference 003802

21/06/18

Drivers licence was revoked on the grounds that

- i) they had been arrested by Surrey Police on suspicion of being concerned in the supply of a Class A Drug and possession of a controlled drug of Class B after a warrant was executed at their home address.
- ii) The applicant had a substantial criminal history of similar incidents including affray, allowing a dog to be dangerously out of control and causing injury, using racially threatening and abusive language intended to cause distress, two convictions for possessing cannabis, three convictions for possessing cannabis with intent to supply and one conviction for possessing cocaine with intent to supply.
- iii) they had been involved in an incident whereupon they had physically assaulted another driver whilst in the reception of the Civic Offices at Woking Borough Council.

Reference 003253

20/07/18

Applicant's renewal was refused on the grounds that an independent medical advisor had deemed them to be 'not medically fit to drive a vehicle for hire and reward,' in line with the DVLA standards.

Reference 003461

20/07/18

Applicant's renewal was refused on the grounds that an independent medical advisor had deemed them to be 'not medically fit to drive a vehicle for hire and reward, in line with the DVLA standards.

Reference 004201

25/07/19

Drivers licence was revoked after they were caught illegally plying for hire in Guildford. The applicant had been caught by Guildford Council Officers and had been found guilty of the offence both at the Magistrates Court and the Crown Court.

Reference 003885

25/09/18

Applicants renewal was refused on the grounds that

- i) it transpired as part of the renewal that they had been caught driving a vehicle without insurance
- ii) they had failed to notify the Licensing Authority of the DVLA endorsement, in contravention of Condition 1b of their licence
- iii) they had provided false information on the renewal form (choosing to state they had never had a licence refused or revoked, when in reality in 2011 they had their licence revoked for illegally plying for hire)

Reference 003465

12/12/18

Drivers licence was revoked on the grounds that

- i) In September 2018 they had had been caught and convicted by Surrey Police of driving a licenced vehicle whilst there was no valid insurance in place,
- ii) In December 2018 they had been caught again by Surrey Police driving a licenced vehicle whilst there was no valid insurance in place,
- iii) they had failed to notify the Licensing Authority of the conviction or the endorsement, in contravention of Condition 1 and Condition 2 of their licence.

Reference 003464

14/12/18

Applicants renewal was refused on the grounds that

- i) they had been disqualified from driving for 'totting up,'
- ii) they had failed to notify the Licensing Authority of the conviction or the endorsement, in contravention of Condition 2 of their licence,
- iii) they had continued to hold a Hackney Carriage Drivers licence whilst not in possession of a valid DVLA Driving Licence.

Reference 003444

03/01/19

Drivers licence was suspended in August 2018 because they had been arrested and charged for a violent domestic incident and a child protection incident. On the 17th of December 2018 the driver attended court, pleaded guilty, and was convicted for common assault. The Criminal Convictions Policy states that a conviction for Common Assault will prevent a licence being issued until 10 years have passed since the completion of the sentence, and as such the suspension was replaced with a revocation.

Reference 003761

03/01/19

Drivers licence was revoked on the grounds that information had been received from Surrey Police regarding an incident whereupon the driver had purchased a controlled substance (Class A, Cocaine) and proceeded to take that drug in their licenced vehicle along with two vulnerable female youths. Having taken the drug they then proceeded to drive the licenced vehicle whilst under the influence of drugs.

Reference 003594

01/04/19

Drivers licence was revoked on the grounds that

- i) during a previous renewal, it was discovered they had failed to declare DVLA points/endorsements (in contravention of Condition 1 of their licence) and received both a verbal and a written warning about it.
- ii) they had received a six month driving ban/disqualification and failed to notify the Licensing Authority in contravention of Condition 1 of their licence.
- iii) they had continued to hold a Private Hire Drivers licence whilst not in possession of a valid DVLA Driving Licence.

Reference 003877

01/04/19

Applicants renewal was refused on the grounds that

- i) they had received a six month driving ban/disqualification and failed to notify the Licensing Authority in contravention of Condition 1 of their licence
- ii) they had continued to hold a Private Hire Drivers licence whilst not in possession of a valid DVLA Driving Licence.

Reference 003528

16/04/19

Applicant's renewal was refused on the grounds that an independent medical advisor had deemed them to be 'not medically fit to drive a vehicle for hire and reward,' in line with the DVLA standards

Reference 006170

13/11/2019

Operator's licence revoked on the grounds that they had knowingly given regular work (transport of vulnerable children) to an unlicensed and therefore uninsured driver. They had failed to comply with the conditions of their licence in that they had not properly kept records of the journeys carried out – thus breaching their licence and potentially compromising the capability of both the licensing authority and the police in carrying out their duties.

Reference 003426

15/11/2019

A hackney drivers licence revoked on the grounds that they had refused to take an elderly blind lady with a guide dog, in contravention of the Equality Act 2010.

Reference 003294

18/11/2019

Allegation of sexual assault from a driver on a passenger. A report was received of a suspected low level sexual assault (touching a male passengers hair in an unwanted sexual manner following a flirtatious conversation) following an investigation it was established that the customer was not willing to make a statement against the driver, no injury was caused, and both the licensing authority and the police felt that the driver did not present a threat to the public and showed remorse for touching the

customer. As a result – the driver accepted a community resolution from Surrey police and the licensing authority felt that there was no requirement to take any further action. The driver was allowed to continue his employment as a licenced driver.

Reference 003671

18/11/2019

Drivers licence revoked on the grounds that

- they had provided a false address on the application form
- they had provided a false address on the disclosure and barring service application
- they had provided a false address on the D4 medical assessment
- they had failed to notify the licensing authority of any change of address
-

Reference – Various

Thirty-Seven Licences suspended for failing to complete the mandatory Child Sexual Exploitation training within the required time frame.

Nine of the above completed their CSE training after their licence was suspended, resulting in the removal of the licence suspension.